Ministry of the Attorney General

Legal Services Branch Ministry of Municipal Alfairs and Housing

16th Floor, 777 Bay Sireet Toronto, Ontarlo M5G 2E5

Tel: (416) 585-8514 Fax: (416) 585-4003 Writer's Direct Line; (416) 585-6543

October 28, 2011

Ministère du Procureur général

Direction des services juridiques Ministère des Alfaires municipates et du Logement

16° élage, 777, rue Bay Toronio, Oniarlo M5G 2E5

Tél: (418) 585-6514 Téléc: (416) 585-4003 Ligne directe du rédacteur: (416) 585-8543



Sent via Fax: 1-705-726-3991

Brenda Clark, Clerk County of Simcoe Administration Centre 1110 Highway 26 Midhurst, ON, LOL 1X0

Dear Ms. Clark:

## Re: Appeal to the Ontario Municipal Board County of Simcoe approval of Official Plan Amendment No. 38 for the Township of Springwater

Pursuant to subsection 17(36) of the *Planning Act*, the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing (MAH) hereby appeals the decision of the Council of the County of Simcoe (County) made on October 12, 2011 to modify and approve Official Plan Amendment 38 (OPA 38) for the Township of Springwater, also known as the Midhurst Secondary Plan.

The purpose of OPA 38 is to provide a land use plan and policies for the regulation of land use and development in the Midhurst Secondary Plan Area. OPA 38 redesignates agricultural and rural land to residential uses and from open space and natural heritage to employment and administration/government uses including the provision of 10,000 dwelling units on a phased basis within the Midhurst Secondary Plan area.

The reasons for this appeal are that County Council's decision: is not consistent with the policies of the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005 (PPS); does not conform to the policies of the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (Growth Plan); does not conform to the County of Simcoe Official Plan, and is premature.

Without limiting such other reasons for this appeal, the reasons in support of this appeal are as follows:

 The decision to modify and approve OPA 38 does not conform to the policies of the Growth Plan, including policies relating to: meeting population forecasts; directing a significant portion of new growth to built up areas; directing major growth to settlement areas that offer municipal water and wastewater systems; meeting intensification requirements, and meeting designated greenfield area density targets.

...../2

October 28, 2011 Ms. Brenda Clark County of Simcoe Page 2 of 2

- 2) The decision to modify and approve OPA 38 is premature until such time as the County of Simcoe Growth Plan conformity exercise, which allocates population and employment for the Township of Springwater, has been approved by the Ontario Municipal Board.
- 3) The decision to modify and approve OPA 38 is not consistent with PPS policies relating to municipal coordination, the management of growth, and the provision of infrastructure and public service facilities.
- 4) The decision to modify and approve OPA 38 does not conform to the County of Simcoe Official Plan as OPA 38 provides for a population that is beyond that which is permitted in the County of Simcoe Official Plan.

While the principal reasons for this appeal are set out above, nothing contained in this notice of appeal shall be deemed to restrict subsequent or more detailed objections.

Attached is a Credit Memo for \$125.00, which confirms payment from the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing to the Ontario Municipal Board. This represents the appeal fee as prescribed under the Ontario Municipal Board Act.

Questions regarding this matter should be directed to Tim Haldenby, Team Lead, Municipal Services Office, Central Ontario, at (416) 585-6559.

Yours truly. = 0 la

Irvin M. Shachter Senior Counsel

Encl:

cc: Bryan MacKell, Director of Plauning and Development, County of Simcoe Tim Haldenby, Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing